IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.27612 of 2008
HIRAMAN SAHNI S/O LATE BHIKHARI SAHNI, R/O VILLAGE-PANTAKI, P.S.-BARGINIYA, DISTRICT- SITAMARHI
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

4 12/12/2008 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel representing the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case which has been registered under section 395 of the Indian Penal Code against 20-25 unknown accused.

Submission is that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. He has been put on test identification parade and has been identified by one witness namely, Dhanmanti Devi. Further submission is that one Mukadam Mansoori has been identified by two witnesses on that very test identification parade held on 5.4.2007. Mukadam Mansoori has been admitted to bail by this court vide order dated 28.7.2008 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 9565 of 2008.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Perused the order dated 28.7.2008 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 9565 of 2008. No doubt Mokaddam Mansoori has been admitted to bail after considering the fact that the TIP was not held by any of the Magistrate but the certified copy produced by the learned counsel for the petitioner shows that it was held by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class.

Considering the fact that the co-accused has been admitted to bail, the petitioner Hiraman Sahni is directed to be released on bail on furnishing of bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two

sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the S.D.J.M., Sikrahna at Motihari in connection with Patahi P.S. Case No. 17 of 2007.

avin **(Shyam Kishore Sharma, J.)**

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL